STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHARMAN TVED
J. TERRY DEASON
LILA A. JABER
BRAULIO L. BAEZ
MICHAEL A. PALECKI



DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES WALTER D'HAESELEER (850) 413-6600

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED Public Service Commission

JUL - 2 2001

June 28, 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Magalie Roman Salas
Federal Communications Commission
Consumer Information Bureau
Disability Rights Office
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Docket No. 98-67 Annual Summary of Relay Complaints

Dear Sirs:

In accordance with FCC Order No. 00-56, I am submitting a summary of the complaints filed with Sprint about its Florida relay service.

From June 2000 through May 2001, there were 278 complaints filed about Sprint's relay service. These complaints represent approximately .009% of the Florida outbound calls placed on Sprint's relay network. Each of these complaints were resolved within the FCC's time requirements without intervention from the Florida Public Service Commission.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (850) 413-6408 or bsalak@psc.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Beth W. Salak Assistant Director

Division of Competitive Services

BWS:sh Enclosure

No. of Copies rec'd____ List ABCDE

on more



Florida Relay Service June 2000 - May 2001

	SERVICE COMPLAINTS	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	TOTAL	PCT.
±OO	Answer Wait Time	1		1	3	3		1	1		1			10	5%
01	Dial Out Time		-	1	Ť	 					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2	1%
02	Didn't Follow Database Inst			· · · · ·	2	├		1			1	1	2	7	4%
03	Didn't Follow Cust. Instruct.	1	2		3		2	2	5	4	1	1	1	22	11%
:04	Didn't Keep Customer Informed	- i -		1	Ť	1	1	7	1	2		1	1	16	8%
05	Agent Disconnected Caller	1	3	10	2	4	2	1	7	3	3	1	3	40	20%
106	Poor Spelling		3			1	1		1	—	1	<u> </u>	1	8	4%
07	Typing Speed/Accuracy		<u>├</u> ॅ			- '-			3		1	2	1	7	4%
08	Poor Voice Tone	1	 		1				2		1	_	 	5	3%
:09	Everything Relayed	1			<u>'</u>	1		1					-	3	2%
10	HCO Procedures Not Followed	2		1	1	- '-								4	2%
111	VCO Procedures Not Followed	2	1	2	1							1	-	7	4%
12	1		 		<u>-</u>							 '		0	0%
113			 			 								0	0%
14	Feelings Not Described		 	-		 						-		0	0%
115			 	 	 					t	1	 		1	1%
116			t	1		 					 -	†	 	 	1%
17	Agent Was Rude	7	2	1	3	3	1	3	6	2	1	 		29	15%
17 18	Problem Answer Machine	1	 	 	 	⊢ Ť	2	<u> </u>	1		1	1		7	4%
119	Spanish Service	<u> </u>	 	- '		2					1			3	2%
20	Speech to Speech		 	 		<u> </u>					·			0	0%
#20 #21	Other Problem Type Complaint		! 	2	4		1	8	2	3		1	4	25	13%
21	TOTAL	18	111	21	20	16	10	24	28	14	13	9	13	197	1
			İ	1					i					•	
	TECHNICAL COMPLAINTS														
22	Lost Branding		1	2					1					4	10%
23	Charged for Local Call						1							1	2%
24	Trouble Linking Up					1			11		2	2	1	7	17%
			1	1			i		_					2	5%
25	Line Disconnected					2									21%
			2		1	2	1	1		1			11	9	
26	Line Disconnected		2		1		1	1		1			1	9	0%
26 27	Line Disconnected Garbled Message		2		1		1	1		1			1	0	0% 0%
6 7 8	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available	2	2	2	1 4		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0% 0%
6 7 8	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Split Screen	2 2	2	2 4		2	1	1	1 3	1	1	1		0	0% 0%
6 7 8	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Split Screen Other Technical Type Complaint				4	7							1	0 0 19	0% 0%
6 7 8	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Split Screen Other Technical Type Complaint				4	7							1	0 0 19	0% 0%
26 27 28 29	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Split Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS	. 2			4	7							1	0 0 19 42	0% 0% 45%
26 27 28 29	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Spirt Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates				4	7							1	0 0 19 42	0% 0% 45%
26 27 28 29	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Spirt Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates OSD	. 2			4	7							1	0 0 19 42	0% 0% 45% 10%
26 27 28 29 30 31	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Split Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates OSD No 900 Number	. 2			4	7 12	2						1 3	0 0 19 42	0% 0% 45% 10% 0%
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Split Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates OSD No 900 Number Carrier of Choice	. 2			4	7							1	0 0 19 42 42	9% 9% 45% 10% 9% 9%
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Spilt Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates OSD No 900 Number Carrier of Choice Network Recording	1	1	1	4	7 12	1	1	3			3	1 3	0 0 19 42 4 0 0 6	0% 0% 45% 10% 0% 0% 15%
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Spit Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates OSD No 900 Number Carrier of Choice Network Recording Other	1 4	1 7	1 4	4 5	7 12 2	1	1 3	2	1		2	1 3 3	0 0 19 42 4 0 0 0 6 0	0% 45% 45% 10% 0% 15%
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Spilt Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates OSD No 900 Number Carrier of Choice Network Recording	1	1	1	4	7 12	1	1	3			3	1 3	0 0 19 42 4 0 0 6	0% 45% 45% 10% 0% 15%
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Line Disconnected Garbled Message Database Not Available Spit Screen Other Technical Type Complaint TOTAL MISC COMPLAINTS Rates OSD No 900 Number Carrier of Choice Network Recording Other	1 4	1 7	1 4	4 5	7 12 2	1	1 3	2	1		2	1 3 3	0 0 19 42 4 0 0 0 6 0	9% 9% 45% 10% 9% 9%

Attachment # 2

Summary Log for June 1, 2000 – May 31, 2001 Florida Relay

For the period of June 1, 2000 through May 31, 2001, Sprint processed 2,967,249 outbound calls on behalf of Florida Relay, receiving a total of two hundred seventy eight (.009%) customer complaints. All two hundred seventy-eight complaints were filed with supervisors at one of the eleven Sprint TRS centers. All of these complaints were resolved in a timely fashion. None of these two hundred seventy-eight complaints were escalated for action to the State of Florida or to the Federal Communications Commission.

FROM (Company)	Preprint Format No. Origin Airbill Number	7577117		
SNAPS FLORIDA PO# 7068	2014479	352143		
Street Address RLIC SRVS COMMISSION	otherwise noted	5 Service Type		
City 2540 SHUMARD OAK BLVD ZIP CODE (Required)	■ X Bill Sender ► 135137780 Airborne Sender account no.	One box must be checked with an "X". Assumed Express Express		
TALLAHASSEF FL 32399 Sent by (Name/Dept) Phone Number	Bill Receiver ▶ Airborne Receiver account no.	Service unless otherwise noted. Next Afternoon		
Beth Salak 850-413-6408	Bill 3rd Party	Shipments over		
2 TO (Company)	Airborne Customer account no.	at the Express rate. Next Afternoon		
	Paid in Check Amount Advance No. \$	Next Afternoon delivery to Bold Red (Letter - 5 lbs)		
Federal Communications Commission Street Address	Billing Reference will appear on invoice	destinations only.		
445 12th Street, SW				
City State ZIP CODE (Required)	6 NO. OF PKGS 7 WEIGHT (LBS.) 8 CHECK IF			
Washington DC 20554	SUBJECT TO COMPRECTION LETTER EXPRESS PACK			
Attention: (Name/Dept) Phone Number (Important)	Special Instructions Saturday Delivery Hold at	ABSENT A HIGHER SHIPMENT VALUATION, CARRIER'S LIABILITY IS LIMITED TO		
Magalie Roman Salas	Saturday Delivery Extra Charge Express Only Not available to all locations	\$100 PER PACKAGE, OR ACTUAL VALUE, WHICHEVER IS LESS, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARE NOT		
Description	Lab Pack	RECOVERABLE. SEE TERMS AND CONDITIONS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THIS		
Docket 98-67 Annual Sum Relay Compl THANK YOU FOR SHIPPING WITH AIRBORNE EXPRESS		NON-NEGOTIABLE AIRBILL. SCAC-AIRB FED I.D. NO. 91-0837469		
THANK YOU FOR SHIPPING WITH AIRBORNE EXPRESS	Declared Full Shipment Valuation Value Insurance	∕IIRBORNE		
Sender's Signature Date 6/29/01	□ or □ \$.	EXPRESS.		
Airbome Signature Route No. Date Time	Received At Airborne			
	☐ Drop Box # ☐ Termina	_ PO BOX 662, SEATTLE, WA 98111-0002		
www.airbome.com S	ENDER'S COPY	1-800-247-2676		